

APR 29 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ANACLETO URIAS-SOTO; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-72432

Agency Nos. A095-302-570

A095-302-571

A095-302-572

MEMORANDUM \*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 13, 2009\*\*

Before: GRABER, GOULD, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Ancaieto Urias-Soto, his wife Georgina Romero-Flores, and their minor child Eduardo Perez-Romero, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals denying, as untimely

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

filed, their motion to reopen the underlying denial of their application for cancellation of removal based on their failure to establish the requisite hardship to a qualifying relative.

Petitioners have waived any challenge to the BIA's order denying their motion to reopen by failing to raise any arguments related to the BIA's dispositive determination that the motion to reopen was untimely. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996). This court lacks jurisdiction to review the BIA's refusal to reopen proceedings *sua sponte*. *See Ekimian v. INS*, 303 F.3d 1153, 1159 (9th Cir. 2002).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**